1 0

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Having reviewed the papers submitted, I find no need for argument. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that NMS Labs' petition for an interlocutory appeal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. section 1292(b) of my February 29, 2008 order denying its motion to dismiss is DENIED and the April 30, 2008 hearing is VACATED.

The matter is not appropriate for immediate appeal at this time. The issue of whether NMS Labs owed a duty to plaintiffs is not a "'pure question of law'" but instead depends on the application of facts not presented to the court in the motion to dismiss. Sierra Foothills Pub. Util. Dist. v. Clarendon Am. Ins. Co., 2006 WL 2085244, 3* (E.D. Cal.

1	2006) quoting Ahrenholz v. Bd. of Trustees, 219 F.3d 674,
2	675-77 (7th Cir. 2000).
3	Nor could an interlocutory appeal result in any
4	appreciable saving of resources, since NMS is not seeking an
5	interlocutory appeal in the related case of <u>Wilson v. Compass</u>
6	<u>Vision, et al.</u> C07-3431.
7	Dated: April 24, 2008
8	Read of Transport
9	Bernard Zimmerman
10	United States Magistrate Judge
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	G:/BZALL/-BZCASES/CLEVELAND V.COMPASSVISION\ORDER DENYING NMS LAB'S REQUEST FOR INTERLOCUTORY APPEAL.WPD
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	